

Appendix 2. The National Institute of Health (NIH) Quality Assessment Tool assesses the quality of studies included in the systematic review. Quality was rated as 0 for poor (0-4 out of 14 questions), I for fair (5-10 out of 14 questions), or II for good (11-14 out of 14 questions). NA, not applicable. NR, not reported.

NIH Quality Assessment ✓															
Study (Year)	Was the research question or objective in this paper clearly stated?	Was the study population clearly specified and defined?	Was the participation rate of eligible persons at least 50%?	Were all the subjects selected or recruited from the same or similar populations?	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided?	For the analyses in this paper, were the exposure(s) of interest measured prior to the outcome(s) being measured?	Was the timeframe sufficient so that one could reasonably expect to see an association between exposure and outcome if it existed?	For exposures that can vary in amount or level, did the study examine different levels of the exposure?	Were the exposure measures (independent variables) clearly defined, valid, reliable, and implemented consistently across all study participants?	Was the exposure(s) assessed more than once over time?	Were the outcome measures (dependent variables) clearly defined, valid, reliable, and implemented consistently across all study participants?	Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?	Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less?	Were key potential confounding variables measured and adjusted statistically for their impact on the relationship? Between exposure(s) and outcome(s)?	Summary Quality
Chung et al ¹⁹ (2020)	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	X	✓	11
Hiranaka et al ²¹ (2020)	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓	12

Furumatsu et al ²⁰ (2022)	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓	12
Kim et al ²² (2011)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	X	12
Lee et al ²³ (2014)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓	13
Ahn et al ¹⁸ (2015)	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓	12
Kim et al ⁹ (2011)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓	13
Ulku et al ²⁴ (2020)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	X	12
Zhang et al ²⁶ (2021)	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓	11
Moon et al ¹⁶ (2020)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓	13
Yanagisawa et al ²⁵ (2020)	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	X	10
Kaplan et al ¹⁷ (2018)	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	NR	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	X	10